

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
FRIDAY, JULY 22, 2011
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
AMENDED***

S025748**PEOPLE v. CASARES (JOSE
LUPERCIO)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Assistant State Public Defender Kathleen M. Scheidel's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by April 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 23, 2011. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 210 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**PEOPLE v. PARKER
(GERALD)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Jeffrey J. Gale's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by February 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 19, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days are contemplated.

S083594**PEOPLE v. TRUJEQUE
(TOMMY ADRIAN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Assistant State Public Defender Kathleen M. Scheidel's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by October 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 23, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

S089478**PEOPLE v. MAI (HUNG
THANH)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender C. Delaine Renard's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by March 28, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 26, 2011. After

that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S098318**PEOPLE v. HENDERSON
(PAUL NATHAN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Martin H. Dodd's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 30, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 23, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S099439**PEOPLE v. KREBS (REX
ALLAN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Neil B. Quinn's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by November 21, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 23, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S138052**PEOPLE v. MATAELE
(TUPOUTOE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 20, 2011.

S150509**PEOPLE v. PINEDA
(SANTIAGO)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 23, 2011.

S167792**LOKER (KEITH THOMAS)
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Charles C. Ragland's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by December 29, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to September 30, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

S181061**JURADO (ROBERT) ON H.C.****Extension of time granted**

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Stephen M. Lathrop's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by January 2, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to September 20, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 100 additional days are contemplated.

S190730**HOUSTON (ERIC
CHRISTOPHER) ON H.C.****Extension of time granted**

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Julie A. Hokans's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by November 19, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to September 20, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S191240

H032866 Sixth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. GONZALES
(RAMIRO)****Extension of time granted**

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to August 24, 2011.

S191341

F059287 Fifth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. SANDERS
(MAURICE D.)****Extension of time granted**

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to August 26, 2011.

***S195010** F061067 Fifth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. BROUSSARD
(CURLEY)**

Order filed

The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to August 31, 2011.
(Cal Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

S044739

**PEOPLE v. BANKSTON
(ANTHONY GEORGE)**

Order filed

Appellant's "Application For Leave to File Oversized Opening Brief in an Automatic Appeal" filed on July 18, 2011, is granted.

S167100

**ZAMUDIO JIMENEZ
(SAMUEL) ON H.C.**

Order filed

The application of petitioner for leave to file exhibits in support of amended petition for writ of habeas corpus, filed April 25, 2011, is granted.

S193062

**MAGALLANES ON
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that EDWARD DAVID DAYTON MAGALLANES, State Bar Number 240231, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. EDWARD DAVID DAYTON MAGALLANES must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 1, 2011; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if EDWARD DAVID DAYTON MAGALLANES has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

EDWARD DAVID DAYTON MAGALLANES must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S193063**MAYNARD ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOHN RALPH MAYNARD, State Bar Number 55169, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. JOHN RALPH MAYNARD is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
2. JOHN RALPH MAYNARD must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 29, 2011; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JOHN RALPH MAYNARD has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JOHN RALPH MAYNARD must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

JOHN RALPH MAYNARD must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S193064**ROBERTS ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MARK SCOTT ROBERTS, State Bar Number 92880, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. MARK SCOTT ROBERTS is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
2. MARK SCOTT ROBERTS must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 4, 2011; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MARK SCOTT ROBERTS has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

MARK SCOTT ROBERTS must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

MARK SCOTT ROBERTS must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012 and 2013. If MARK SCOTT ROBERTS fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S193065**GAXIOLA ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that HENRY RICHARD GAXIOLA, State Bar Number 138498, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. HENRY RICHARD GAXIOLA must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 24, 2011; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if HENRY RICHARD GAXIOLA has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

HENRY RICHARD GAXIOLA must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012 and 2013. If HENRY RICHARD GAXIOLA fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S193066**HERRON ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that RYAN MICHAEL HERRON, State Bar Number 175216, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

RYAN MICHAEL HERRON must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S193067**LEONARD ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOHN JOSEPH LEONARD, State Bar Number 232040, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. JOHN JOSEPH LEONARD is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
2. JOHN JOSEPH LEONARD must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 1, 2011; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JOHN JOSEPH LEONARD has complied with all conditions of probation, the three-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JOHN JOSEPH LEONARD must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. If JOHN JOSEPH LEONARD fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S193069**LOPEZ ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that CESAR A. LOPEZ, State Bar Number 195868, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. CESAR A. LOPEZ must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 29, 2011; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if CESAR A. LOPEZ has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

CESAR A. LOPEZ must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each

of the years 2012 and 2013. If CESAR A. LOPEZ fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S193070**ERENSTOFT ON
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that SEAN GREG ERENSTOFT, State Bar Number 161898, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. SEAN GREG ERENSTOFT must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S193071**LONDON ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ADAM MITCHELL LONDON, State Bar Number 150639, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. ADAM MITCHELL LONDON must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

